

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/765,944		01/29/2004	Klaus Gunter Engel	8989-032	5772
1059	7590	08/09/2005		EXAMINER	
BERESKIN			ROJAS, BERNARD		
40 KING ST BOX 401	KEEI WI	ES1	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
TORONTO,	ON M5	H 3Y2	2832		
CANADA			DATE MAILED: 08/09/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/765,944	ENGEL, KLAUS GUNTER				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
,		\ \mathcal{k}\ \tau^2				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Bernard Rojas	2832				
Period for Reply	lears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	·	·				
Disposition of Claims	•					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o						
Application Papers	•					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	ıf.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	,				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		·				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 06232004 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2832

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 2 and 5 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. It is unclear what structure applicant is defining in claim 2 line 1 states "wherein each end also includes..." For examination purposes this will be interpreted as "wherein each end cap also includes..."

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential structural cooperative relationships of elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the necessary structural connections. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted structural cooperative relationships are: how the maximum radius regions and the minimum radius regions are dimensioned to match the torque of the actuator are related to a microwave T-switch..

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2832

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Walker et al. [US 3,959,672] in view of Honsinger et al. [US 4,388,545].

Claim 1, Walker et al. discloses a hybrid switch actuator having positions that are stable in the absence of current and in which displacement occurs between an initial position and a target position under the action of a current, for operation of a microwave switch, said actuator comprising; a stator having six pole shoes, each pair of opposed pole shoes being associated with a common exciting coil [figure 1, col. 1 lines 40-51]; and such that when two diametrically opposed stator pole shoes having a first polarity are excited through their associated common exciting coil, said stator pole shoes attract two diametrically opposed rotor poles having an opposite polarity to said first polarity and repel the remaining two rotor poles such that each rotor pole associated with a maximum radius region can be precisely aligned with a stator pole associated with a stator pole shoe [col. 1 lines 40-51, alternating the polarity will make the rotor spin].

Honsinger et al. discloses a rotor package rotatable along a rotation axis and adapted to be positioned within said stator and having two pairs of rotor poles magnetized transversely in alternate directions, said rotor package including a permanent magnet ring [3] magnetized along the rotation axis and two end caps [11,13] adapted to be engaged around said permanent magnet ring, each end cap having two maximum radius regions that each correspond to the area of each of the stator pole shoes [figure 1, col. 2 lines 27-28].

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the easily manufactured rotor of Honsinger et al. in the stator of Walker et al. in order to an reduce the cost of the rotor [col. 2 lines 10-30].

Claim 2, Honsinger et al. discloses the actuator of claim 1, wherein each end also includes four reduced radius regions, each reduced radius region having a radius that is less than the radius of the maximum radius region, each maximum radius region having two of said four reduced radius regions positioned adjacent therein [figure 1].

Claim 3, Honsinger et al. discloses the claimed invention except for the distance between the end caps. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to vary the distance between the end caps in order to adjust the magnetic flux line from the permanent magnet depending on the size of the stator in order to maximize the rotor's efficiency. Since applicant has not disclosed that a distance of at least 1.5 mm solves any stated problem or is for any particular purpose and it appears that the invention would perform equally well with any flux maximizing distance.

Claim 4, Walker et al. discloses that the rotor is adapted to move from any initial position to any target position by moving 60 degrees [figure 1].

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bernard Rojas whose telephone number is (571) 272-.

1998. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-4:00), every other Friday off.

Application/Control Number: 10/765,944

Art Unit: 2832

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Elvin G. Enad can be reached on (571) 272-1990. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Bennel Resi

Spe-Ansisz 8/8/03